



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,483	03/23/2001	Kunio Sekiya	24555-001	6972

26691 7590 10/16/2002

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
ATTN: KATHLEEN W. GEIGER, ESQ.
P.O. BOX 951
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0951

EXAMINER

HALPERN, MARK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1731

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/486,483	SEKIYA, KUNIO
	Examiner Mark Halpern	Art Unit 1731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1) Claims 1-7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the surface" in line 2.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the surface of the canvas" in line 4.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the surface " in line 2.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the surface of canvas rolls" in line 4.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the surface" in line 2.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the silicone oil" in lines 5, 7, 10, 12.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "surface" in line 2.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the silicone oil" in lines 5, 7, 9, 12, 14.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the surface of an out-roll" in line 5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim 7 is not clear as to what is an out-roll.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2) Claims 1, 3-5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trokhan (5,073,235). Trokhan discloses a process of chemically treating a papermaking belt (Abstract). The chemical compound continuously applied from roller 21 to the belt is a silicone oil (col. 11, lines 1-5) or an emulsion of water and oil (col. 13, lines 35-48). The chemical application can also occur by spraying or gravure printing (col. 13, lines 17-34). The chemical application to the belt 10 occurs prior to the pick up of web 18 formed from headbox 13. The belt and the web follow in direction of arrow B and then are transferred off to the Yankee dryer drum 28 (col. 8, line 10 to col. 10, line 26, and Figure 1). Trokhan discloses the volumetric flow rate of the aqueous solution applied to the papermaking belt to be 0.50 gal/hr.-cross direction ft. (about 6.21 liters/hr-

meter). The aqueous solution is mixed with a surfactant and also heated up to 165 °F (Example I, col. 32, lines 15-22).

3) Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Trokhan. Trokhan discloses the claimed features as per item 2, above. Claim 6 also recites that the silicone oil adheres to and is absorbed into the belt. Trokhan discloses that the chemical compound adheres to and is absorbed into the belt (col. 5, lines 6-22). Additionally, claim 6 recites a limitation that the silicone oil transfers to the paper strip. It is inherent or at least it would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the silicone oil from the belt enters the paper web since the web and the belt adhere to each other as they travel together and are pressed against each other by rolls 19a and 19b, shoe 24a, and nip formed between roll 20 and the drying cylinder 28 (Figure 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

4) Claims 2, 7, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is that the cited prior art does not show a method of preventing contamination of a canvas for pressing a paper strip against the surface of drum-dryers used for drying the paper strip, where in

Art Unit: 1731

said method a silicone oil is supplied to the surface of a canvas roll (claim 2), or to an out-roll (claim 7).

Conclusion

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 703-305-4522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.



Mark Halpern
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1731

October 14, 2002